Examiner-Initiated Interview Summ		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	200	09/982,008	YAMADA ET AL.	
	iai y	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Dennis Rosario	2621	
All Participants:		Status of Applicati	on: <u>After Final</u>	
(1) Dennis Rosario, PTO personnel.		(3)		
(2) John Voisinet, Applicant's representative.		(4)		
Date of Interview: 3 January 2006		Time: <u>1000</u>		
_	☐ Applica No	nt's representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	IE GENEF	RAL NATURE OF WHA	AT WAS DISCUSSED:	
Part III.				
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a some directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant to fit the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a some did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief	ation. The separate re	e examiner will provide ecord of the substance	a written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview	е
JOSEPH MANCUSO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			·	
<i> U</i>				
(Examiner/SPE Signature)	(Applicant/	Applicant's Represent	ative Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Contacted applicant's representative to discuss an examiner's amendment that would result in claims 1 and 10 being allowed. However, an opportunity to discuss the examiner's amendment was not available. The examiner's amendment would have been:

Claim 1, line 5: between "a frequency" insert --high or low-- .

Claim 10, line 4: between "a frequency" insert --high or low-- .

CLaim 10, line 5: at the end of "basis" insert--using an unquantized coefficient output from said transforming--.